## BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-

**CPYRGHT** 

**CPYRGHT** 

FOIAb3b

# Washington Hi

WITHIN 48 hours of the exposure by your paper of the PAK-CIA plot of the invasion of Kashmir in BLITZ last week, the Central Intelligence Agency of the US State Department hit back at us with its familiar dagger of character assassination.

The Sunday Standard, a weekly belonging to the Goenka-Express chain, featured on June 18th & "Special" from Washington under the headline: TOP US
OFFICIAL INDICTS BOMBAY
WEEKLY — USE OF "FORGERIFS" AS US GOVT. DOCUMENTS ALLEGED.

This smear from Washington and its projection in Bombay are both so revealing of the noto-rious CIA technique — in fact, they expose the Washington hilt. of the begger so unmistakably—that a study of the issues involved will be fruitful.

## Testimony Of A Miscredited Spy

Lift by begin with an exami-nation of the point of the CIA dagger—by this case, the Sunday Standard Whe headline suggests that some "top" US efficials" had really "halloted" BLITE. The

reader will be surprised to learn relation to charges as internafrom the second paragraph of the story that the identity of
this "top US official" as one SEATO nations, made against
"Richard Helms, Assistant Director of the CIA" — that is,

A PLAIN AND SIMPLE

APLAIN AND SIMPLE

SPY, AND WORSE STILL, A

CONTROL OF THE SIMPLE

SPY, AND WORSE STILL, A

BELONGING TO THE MESSIANT Director Helms made the

MOST DESPICABLE AND DISCREDITED ORGANIZATION NOTORIQUS AS THE CIA!

### Worldover Subversion By CIA

THE CIA, as is well known, is presently under investigation by its own

reader will be surprised to learn relation to charges and interna

SPY, AND WORSE STILL, A "chargesheet" that CIA's Applea that the documents produ-ced were forged documents and that his Agence had proved their falsity.

## The Dagger Of Forgery

BLITZ maintains that Government and documents were neither false po Congress for a whole series of forged, but more of that later, the most contemptible and dis- Presently we shall restrict our

the most contemptible and disgraceful activities on the records of contemporary history, climaxing in the recent Cuban, fiasco.

The attack on BLITZ, therefore was NOT anything like an "indictment" or "charge" against BLITZ by the CIA, as its presentation by the Sunday Standard would make out, but a counter-charge made in an attempt to defend its past.

The fact is that the US Senate Judiciary Sub-committee had called for several copies of BLITZ published during the year 1957. These were used by the Committee as documents for the cross-examination of the CIA in

roved For Release : CIA-R

000100490014-6